



previous injury to these employees. He continued to claim, however, he reported the current injury to Mr. Ardis. Mr. Ardis testified that claimant did not report the injury to him. In fact, he testified that claimant came to work that day complaining of back pain but indicated it was not related to his work. The evidence establishes that claimant was familiar with respondent's requirements for written reports on work-related accidents. Claimant did not complete a written report. The evidence also establishes claimant has a history of degenerative disc disease which had, on a number of occasions, required a leave of absence from his work for the respondent without a work-related accident. Finally, the evidence does not include a medical opinion which confirms a work-related injury on June 11, 1994. For these several reasons, the Appeals Board finds the claimant has not met his burden. The November 1, 1994, Order denying benefits should be affirmed.

**WHEREFORE**, the Appeals Board finds the November 1, 1994, decision of Administrative Law Judge George R. Robertson, should be, and is hereby, affirmed.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated this \_\_\_\_ day of February, 1995.

\_\_\_\_\_  
BOARD MEMBER

\_\_\_\_\_  
BOARD MEMBER

\_\_\_\_\_  
BOARD MEMBER

cc: Tamara J. Pistotnik, Wichita, KS  
Mickey Mosier, Salina, KS  
George R. Robertson, Administrative Law Judge  
George Gomez, Director